## Partitives in the A/S slot and verb indexing

## Ilja Seržant

(Kiel)

Partitives (three of the students) denote (i) a part-whole relation in which the part (three (students) is a subset from a superset (the students) (Enç 1991; von Heusinger 2002: 261-62; Koptjevskaja-Tamm 2001, 2009) or (ii) they may also develop into simple quantifier/numeral/measure phrases (pseudo-partitives, Selkirk 1977) as in English a cup of tea. Most frequently partitives feature indefinite subsets. Accordingly, many languages generalize/conventionalize this asymmetry and develop generalized partitives in which the subset is inherently unexpressed:

(1) Lithuanian (Indo-European)

Kieme privažiavo žmonių

village.LOC arrive.PST.3 people.GEN/PART.PL

'(Many) people arrived in the village.'

The partitive *žmonių* 'of people' has no nominal head. Since it occupies the S slot, it is supposed to trigger verb agreement. However, it does not, and the verb is demonstrably in the default, non-agreeing form.

Cross-linguistically, generalized partitives in the A/S slot may trigger three types of agreement patterns: (i) default as in (1), (ii) subset or (iii) superset agreement.

Some other languages have (ii) subset agreement: the implicit value of the subset is copied on the verb (semantic "agreement" in Corbett 2006: 155):

The value of the implicit subset	'[one] of us'	'[some] of us'
The value of the verbal index	singular	plural

Table 1: Cross-indexing generalized partitives on the verb

In Garifuna, the partitive expression is formed by means of the ablative-like postposition - dagiya. The verb agrees with the person and number of the subset:

(2) Garifuna (Awakan; South America; Barchas-Lichtenstein 2012: 189) Éibagua-tiyan wá-dagiya.
run-T3PL P1PL-from/part

run-13PL PIPL-Irom/part

'[Some] of us ran.'

In (2), the subset value 'some' is plural and third person, while the superset is first-person plural. It is the former and not the latter value that the verb agrees with. Similarly, the plural subset agrees with the auxiliary in Armenian and Jibbali:

(3) Modern Eastern Armenian (Indo-European; Dum-Tragut 2009: 313)

R°adio-y-ov her°arjak-v-um ēin Hovhannes radio-INS broadcast-PASS-PTCP.PRS AUX.3PL.PST Hovhannes

T'umanyan-i patmvack'-ner-ic'.

T'umanyan-DAT **story-PL-ABL/PART** 

'Some of Hovhannes T'umanyan's stories were broadcasted on the radio.'

(4) Jibbali (Afroasiatic, Semitic; Oman; Hofstede 1998: 42)

m3n é-yɔ́ d² od y3zir īķbért

from/PART DEF-people still.3M.SG/C.PL visit.IMPF.3M.PL DEF.tomb

'some people still visit a (saint's) tomb'

Finally, there are languages with the (iii) superset agreement:

- (5) Eibela (Bosavi; Papua New-Guinea; Aiton 2016: 371)

  ni:je: la: mene:na: kei di-si

  1.PART DET go.l.FUT ASSER PFV-MED.PFV

  '(U:gei said) "Some of us will also go." and then...'
- (6) Warapu (Sko; Papua New-Guinea; Corris 2005: 158)

  Ra n-amá-ute, owu n-o-ké(p)i.

  one IRR-2SG.M-walk some IRR-2PL.M-(2PL.M).sit

  'One of you will go, some of you will stay.'

In this paper, I argue for a diachronic explanation for the threefold agreement patterns:

(7) subset > default > superset

I compare it with the development to partitives with an overt subset NP in which the head noun develops into a measure phrase or a quantifier:

(8) a. A group of students was present.b. A group of students were present.

The development of a group into a quantifier makes the whole construction one NP. Accordingly, in (8), formally the former superset provides for the number value for the verb.

## References

Aiton, Grant. 2016. *Grammatical Relations and Information Structure in Eibela: A typological perspective*. James Cook University dissertation.

Barchas-Lichtenstein, J. 2012. Garifuna Quantification. In E. L. Keenan & D. Paperno (eds.), Handbook of Quantifiers in Natural Language, 165–226. Dordrecht: Springer.

Corbett, Greville. 2006. Agreement. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Corris, Miriam 2005. A grammar of Barupu, a language of Papua New Guinea. University of Sydney dissertation.

Dum-Tragut, Jasmine 2009. Armenian: Modern Eastern Armenian. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.

Enc, Mürvet. 1991. The semantics of specificity. Linguistic Inquiry 22. 1–25.

Hofstede, Antje Ida. 1998. Syntax of Jibbāli. University of Manchester doctoral dissertation.

Koptjevskaja-Tamm, Maria. 2001. A Piece of the Cake and a Cup of Tea: Partitive and Pseudo-Partitive Nominal Constructions in the Circum-Baltic Languages. In O. Dahl & M. Koptjevskaja-Tamm (eds.), *The Circum-Baltic Languages. Typology and Contact*, vol. 2: *Grammar and Typology* (Studies in Language Companion Series), 523–568. Amsterdam & Philadelphia.

Koptjevskaja-Tamm, Maria. 2009. A lot of grammar with a good portion of lexicon: towards a typology of partitive and pseudopartitive nominal constructions. In Johannes Helmbrecht, Yoko Nishina, Yong-Min Shin, Stavros Skopeteas & Elisabeth Verhoeven (eds.), Form and Function in Language Research. Papers in Honour of Christian Lehmann, 329-346. Berlin & New York: Mouton de Gruyter.

von Heusinger, Klaus 2002. Specificity and Definiteness in Sentence and Discourse Structure. *Journal of Semantics* 19(3). 245–274.